PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

								· _
Applicant's or agent's file reference P200200483 WO				FOR FURTHER AC	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No.			cation No.	International filing date (c	lay/mont	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/y	ear)
PCT/EP 03/11224			224	09.10.2003			20.11.2002	
Interr	nationa	I Pate	nt Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification ar	nd IPC			
H04	L25/0	2						
Angli	t	_						
Appli TEL		VAKT	TEBOLAGET L M ER	RICSSON (PUBL)				
1.	This	interr	national preliminary exar	mination report has beer applicant according to A	n prepar Article 3	ed by this Inter 6	rnational Preliminary Exa	amining
	Aum	Office of	and is transmitted to the	applicant according to 7		.		
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total of	of 5 sheets, including thi	is cover	sheet.		
		Th:-		nied by ANNEYES is s	hoote c	of the description	on, claims and/or drawing	is which have
		beer	amended and are the i	basis for this report and/	br shee	ts containing re	ectifications made before	this Authority
		(see	Rule 70.16 and Section	n 607 of the Administration	ve Instr	uctions under t	ne PCT).	
	Thes	e anr	nexes consist of a total of	of sheets.				
3.	This	repor	t contains indications re	elating to the following ite	ems:			
	ı	· 🛛	Basis of the opinion					
ļ	H		Priority					
	Ш		Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to no	novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	IV		Lack of unity of invent					
	V Material Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						applicability;	
	VI Certain documents cited			ed				
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application				
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international appli	cation			
Date	of sub	missio	on of the demand		Date o	f completion of th	nis report	
08.05.2004					07.02	.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international				nal	Author	ized Officer		
preli	ne and minary	exam	ining authority:		Autiloi	1250 Om661		John Lieches Palemont
-		Eu	ropean Patent Office - P.B -2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays E	. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Bas	Oroze	co Roura, C		
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl			1 651 epo ni		ione No. +31 70	240 2900		
1		. га	x: +31 70 340 - 3016		гетерп	IONE NO. +31 /U	J-0-J050	Office eving.



JC20 REP PCT/PTO 10 MAY 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/11224

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages						
	1-2	1	as originally filed .					
	Clai	ims, Numbers						
			as originally filed					
	1-14	*	as originally filed					
	Dra	wings, Sheets						
	1/2-	2/2	as originally filed					
2.	With	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:							
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of publ	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).					
3.	With inte	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
	☐ contained in the international application in written form.							
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
	☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosin the international application as filed has been furnished.							
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.						
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/11224

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	ıve
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as med (Fibe 70.2(0)).	

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims 4-12,14

No: Claims 1-3,13

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims 4

No: Claims 1-3,5-14

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-14

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: DE 196 06 102 A (SIEMENS AG) 21 August 1997 (1997-08-21)
- D2: EP-A-0 954 142 (LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC) 3 November 1999 (1999-11-03)
- V.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method of determining a DC offset in a communications signal received via a communications channel (column 7, lines 10-12), the communications signal comprising a sequence of training symbols (column 2, line 61); the method comprising:

providing a channel estimate of the communications channel based on said sequence of training symbols (column 7, lines 13-14 and column 2, lines 61-62); determining, based on the channel estimate, an estimate of a noise contribution induced by the communications channel (column 7, lines 34-35 and lines 41-42. The difference between the received data, "Antennendaten", and the data obtained using the estimated channel coefficients, "Modelldaten", is an estimate of a noise contribution.); and

determining an estimate of the DC offset from the determined estimate of the noise contribution (column 7, lines 39-43).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new.

- V.2 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claim 13, which therefore is also considered not new.
- V.3 Dependent claims 2, 3, 5-12 and 14 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the

requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, for the following reasons:

- The subject-matter of claims 2 and 3 is disclosed in document D1 (see claims 1 and 2). Therefore, the subject-matter of these claims lacks novelty for the same reasons as the subject-matter of claim 1.
- The additional features of dependent claims 5-8 have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar channel estimation method, see document D2, page 4, lines 1-5 and 25-30. It would therefore be obvious to the person skilled in the art, to apply these features with corresponding effect to a method according to document D1, thus arriving at a method according to claims 5-8.
- In claims 9-12 and 14 slight constructional changes in the method of claim 1 and the arrangement of claim 13 are suggested which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can be readily contemplated in advance. Consequently, the subject-matter of claims 9-12 and 14 also lacks an inventive step.
- V.4 The combination of the features of dependent claim 4 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art, for the following reasons: None of the prior art documents hints at calculating an inner product of a rotation trend vector and an estimated noise vector representing the determined estimate of the noise contribution, which results in a reduction in complexity of the joint channel-DC estimation.

V.5 Further remarks:

- The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses as prescribed by Rule 6.2(b) PCT.
- The document D1 is not identified in the description as prescribed by Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT.
- Claims are not in the two-part form as prescribed by Rule 6.3(b) PCT.